

LOCAL ESC PROGRAM RATING WORKSHEET
Local Program:

Page 1 of 7

Introduction: This worksheet provides a numerical rating for the local program based on the minimum standards of effectiveness and other requirements as contained in the Local ESC Program Review Checklist. A total of 100 points is available for each program component. A local program must receive a minimum of 70 points in each component in order to be considered "effective" in accordance with the Virginia Erosion and Sediment Control Law (VESCL) and the Virginia Erosion and Sediment Control Regulations (VESCR).

**Review Date:** 

The final page of the worksheet contains a summary of program enhancement options provided by the locality. Program enhancements are actions, procedures or policies implemented by the locality which are not required by the VESCH or VESCR but are recognized as contributing to increased effectiveness of the local erosion and sediment control program. Since they are not required, no points are provided for the inclusion of programs enhancements.

PART I: ADMINISTRATION - Pick only one per heading.

PART I: ADMINISTRATION - Pick only one per heading.				
Available	Earned	Criteria		
Points	Points			
		pick only one per heading		
		dministered by a certified Program Administrator, all plans are reviewed by a certified Plan		
	er and all ins	pections are performed by a certified Inspector		
35		Yes		
0		No		
35		Subtotal – Certified Personnel		
Program A	Administrati	ion- pick only one per heading		
		ow land-disturbing activity without an approved plan or an agreement in lieu of a plan and does		
not issu	e building, g	rading or other permits without submittal of an approved plan and certification that the plan will		
be follow	ved			
10		Yes		
0		No		
2. Locality	requires pr	ovision of the name of an individual holding a certificate of competence as a prerequisite to		
engagin	engaging in all land-disturbing activities, except when properly waived for a single family residence			
5		Yes		
0		No		
3. Locality	3. Locality reports land-disturbing activities to DCR in the method established at least 9 months each year			
5		Yes		
0		No		
4. Locality	4. Locality maintains a copy of the approved plan and a record of inspections for each active land-disturbing activity			
5		Yes		
0		No		
5. Locality	5. Locality maintains a record of enforcement actions for all active land-disturbing activities			
5		Yes		
0		No		
30		Subtotal – Program Administration		



Review Date: Page 2 of 7

PART I: ADMINISTRATION (continued)

Local ESC	Local ESC Ordinance – pick only one score					
Available Points	Earned Points	Criteria				
35		All required law or regulation sections listed below are present and correct				
30		One or more of the required law or regulation items listed below is missing or incorrect.				
		Exception for agricultural ponds not required to comply with the Dam Safety Act				
		Exception for harvesting forest crops				
		Exception for shoreline erosion control projects in tidal waters (Not required for programs				
		outside of tidal areas)				
		Exemption to channel flow requirements for projects incorporating natural channel design				
		concepts				
		Satisfaction of channel flow requirements for projects incorporating SWM per §10.1-561A				
		Provision of the name of an individual with a certificate of competence prior to commencing Land Disturbing Activity				
		Written plan review response within 45 days				
		Owner is responsible for the plan				
		Certification that an approved plan will be followed				
15		Only the core items of the law or regulations listed below are present and correct.				
		Definition of a land disturbing activity, including exceptions and exemptions				
		Identification of plan approving authority, program authority and inspection responsibility				
		Reference VESCR and specific design standards				
		No land-disturbing activity allowed without an approved plan or agreement in lieu of a plan				
		Provision for periodic inspections				
		Procedures for issuing a notice to comply				
		Procedures for issuing a stop work order and revoking the permit				
		Appeal procedures				
		Class I Misdemeanor or civil penalties				
0		One or more of the core items listed above is missing and/or not correct.				
35		Subtotal - Local ESC Ordinance				
100		TOTAL – PROGRAM ADMINISTRATION				



ı	OCM	FSC	<b>PROGRA</b>	MP	ATING	WOR	KCHEET
L	_UCAL	EOU	FNUUNA	IVI D	AIING	WUD	NOHEEL

Review Date: Page 3 of 7

PART II: PLAN REVIEW - Pick only one per heading.

Available Points	Earned Points	Criteria
		notice of disapproval of the plan, stating the reasons for disapproval is communicated within 45
days of r		House of disapproval of the plan, stating the reasons for disapproval is communicated main.
10		Yes
0		No
2. All disapp	oroval notice	es specify the modifications, terms, and conditions that will permit approval of the plan
15		Yes
0		No
3. Approve	d plans com	ply with state Minimum Standards or an appropriate variance is granted
40		All reviewed plans meet the applicable Minimum Standards
20		At least 70% of reviewed plans meet the applicable Minimum Standards
0		Less than 70% of reviewed plans meet the applicable Minimum Standards
	ient describi proved plans	ng the maintenance responsibilities of the permittee for ESC structures and systems is included
5		Yes
0		No
	ans, land-dis approved p	sturbing activity occurring at a separate location is shown on the approved plan or has a lan
5		Yes
0		No
6. Content standard		plans meets the design standards of Chapter 3 of the VESCH or locally adopted design
20		All reviewed plans meet the design standards
10		At least 70% of reviewed plans meet the design standards
0		Less than 70% of reviewed plans meet the design standards
	ved varianc	es are documented in the plan or approved in writing
5		Yes or variance not required or variances are not allowed by the program
0		Approved variances are not documented in the plan or not approved in writing
100		TOTAL – PLAN REVIEW



<b>LOCAL</b>	<b>FSC</b>	PROG	RAM	<b>RATIN</b>	G V	VORK	SHFFI
LOUAL	-00	11100			<b>U</b>	7 OI 111	

Review Date: Page 4 of 7

PART III: INSPECTION: - Pick only one per heading.

Available	Earned	Criteria
Points	Points	
1 ESC me	asures are r	maintained and repaired or violations are noted in inspection reports
10		On all sites visited, ESC structures and systems are repaired and maintained or violations are
10		noted in inspection reports
5		On at least 70% of sites visited, ESC structures and systems are repaired and maintained or
3		violations are noted in inspection reports
0		At less than 70% of sites visited, ESC structures and systems are repaired and maintained or
		violations are noted in inspection reports
		ects, inspection is provided during or immediately following initial installation of erosion and
	t controls	
5		Yes
0		No
	inspections	are provided at the required frequency including Alternative Inspection Programs
20		All visited sites meet the required inspection frequency
10		At least 70% of visited sites meet the required inspection frequency
0		Less than 70% of visited sites meet the required inspection frequency
4. At compl	leted sites, ii	nspections are provided at the completion of a project and prior to release of any performance
bond		
5		At least 70% of the visited sites were inspected at project completion or no completed sites
		were visited
0		Less than 70% of the visited sites were inspected at project completion
		given notice of the inspection and inspections are documented by inspection reports or recorded
	pection log i	f no violations are observed
10		At least 70% of visited sites had inspection notice and documentation
0		Less than 70% of visited sites had inspection notice and documentation
	ions are not	ed in an inspection report and corrective actions with completion deadlines are specified
15		All violations and corrective actions are noted in reports for 70% of visited sites
0		All violations and corrective actions are noted in reports for less than 70% of visited sites
	per party is g	given notice of violations
5		Notification of violations is provided for at least 70% of visited sites
0		Notification of violations is provided for less than 70% of visited sites
		he program review process comply with the approved plan and applicable Minimum Standards
	action is take	
30		All visited sites meet the plan and MS requirements or action is taken
15		At least 70% of visited sites meet the plan and MS requirements or action is taken
0		Less than 70% of visited sites meet the plan and MS requirements and action is not taken
100		TOTAL - INSPECTION



LOCAL ESC PROGRAM RATING WORKSHEET

Review Date: Page 5 of 7

PART IV: ENFORCEMENT - Pick only one per heading

Available	Earned	Criteria
Points	Points	
1 When vi	olations of th	ne approved plan or applicable Minimum Standards are observed, inspection reports document
all violati	ions and enf	forcement action (specific corrective actions with completion deadlines) is initiated
40		Violations documented and enforcement action is initiated at all times
20		Violations documented and enforcement action is initiated at least 70% of the time
0		Violations documented and enforcement action is initiated less than 70% of the time
2. When vi	olations note	ed on inspection reports remain during subsequent inspection, a notice to comply (or equivalent
higher le	vel enforcer	ment action) is issued
20		Notice to comply or equivalent higher level action is issued at all times or corrective action
20		process adequately resolved all issues of non-compliance
10		Notice to comply or equivalent higher level action is issued at least 70% of the time
0		Notice to comply or equivalent a higher level action is issued less than 70% of the time
		(or equivalent enforcement actions) contain specific measures or corrections that need to be
made ar	nd specify de	eadlines for completion
20		Yes or higher level enforcement not needed
0		No
4. Advance	ed enforceme	ent such as stop work orders (or equivalent actions) are issued when inspection subsequent to a
		other equivalent action) reveals continuing violation(s) or when land-disturbing activities
commen	ice without a	in approved plan or when violations are causing or are in imminent danger of causing harmful
erosion		
20		Advanced enforcement is taken at all times or advanced enforcement not needed
10		Advanced enforcement is taken at least 70% of the time
0		Advanced enforcement is taken less than 70% of the time
100		TOTAL - ENFORCEMENT



LOCAL ESC PROGRAM RATING WORKSHEET			
Local program:			
Review Date:	Page 6 of 7		

	LOCAL PROGRAM OVERALL RATING				
Available Points	Earned Points	Program Area			
100		PROGRAM ADMINISTRATION			
100		PLAN REVIEW			
100		INSPECTION			
100		ENFORCEMENT			
	OVERALL EFFECTIVENESS:				
	Local program <b>meets</b> standards of effectiveness based on a score of at least 70 points in				
	each program component				
		ogram does not meet standards of effectiveness based on a score of less than			
	70 points in one or more program component				

DCR LOCAL PROGRAM REVIEW APPROVAL		
Regional Office		
Preparer:	Title:	
Signature:	Date:	
Regional Manager:	Office:	
Signature:	Date:	
Central Office		
Erosion and Sediment Control Program Manager:		
Signature:	Date:	



LOCAL ESC PROGRAM RATING WORKSHEET	
Local program:	
Review Date:	Page 7 of 7

LOCAL PROGRAM ENHANCEMENT OPTIONS
Administration
Plan Review
Inspection
Enforcement